

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION
11

12 QUALITY INVESTMENT PROPERTIES)
13 SANTA CLARA, LLC,)
14 Plaintiff,)
15 v.)
16 SERRANO ELECTRIC, INC., et al.,)
17 Defendants.)

Case No.: C 09-5376 JF (PSG)

**ORDER SOLICITING FURTHER
DOCUMENTATION REGARDING
ATTORNEYS FEES INCURRED BY
PLAINTIFF IN CONNECTION WITH
MOTION FOR BLANKET PROTECTIVE
ORDER**

18 On October 27, 2010, this court entered an order directing Defendant Peterson Power
19 Systems, Inc. ("Peterson") to reimburse Plaintiff for the reasonable attorneys fees it incurred in
20 connection with its motion for a blanket protective order. The order provided that, in the event the
21 parties could not agree on the amount of fees, Plaintiff could file a declaration setting forth the
22 amount of fees incurred and Peterson could file a response. On November 16, 2010, Plaintiff timely
23 filed a declaration summarizing the amount of fees incurred in connection with its motion for a
24 blanket protective order.¹ Peterson filed no response. Based on the file herein,

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than March 15, 2011, Plaintiff shall submit copies
26 of the billing statements from counsel for work done in connection with its motion for a blanket

27
28 ¹ Plaintiff also included in its summary work done during the pre-motion meet and confer
as well as post-motion work. However, Judge Trumbull's order requiring reimbursement was limited
to work done in connection with the motion alone.

1 protective order. The summary submitted does not provide enough detail for the court to evaluate
2 the reasonableness of the fees requested.

3 Dated: March 3, 2011

4 
5 PAUL S. GREWAL
6 United States Magistrate Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28